

Research Compliance Services

ACTIVE QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

TEMPLATE FOR SELF-ASSESSMENT

For Assistance, please call Research Compliance Services at (713) 798-6970

Regulatory Documentation Review				
Gen	eral Review			
	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
Α.	Approved protocol present?		0	
В.	Signed investigator agreement present?		0	
C.	Are PI & Co-Investigator(s) CV's present?	C	C	C
D.	Are PI & Co-Investigator(s) CV's signed and dated?		C	C
E.	Are PI & Co-Investigator(s) licenses present?	0		
F.	Are all e-CAT certificates present?	C	C	
G.	Is documentation of communication with sponsor adequate?	C	C	C
Н.	Is documentation of communication with FDA adequate?			
Ι.	Is signature list of research staff present?		0	0
J.	Investigator brochure or device manual present? Version(s):		0	
K.	Are Monitoring reports present? Monitor/CRO: Date(s):	C	C	C
L.	Are copies of the current CLIA/CAP certification present?		0	0
M.	Is range of the normal lab values present?			
N.	Was continuous IRB approval for the protocol maintained (no lapse)?	0		
0.	If a DSMB is required, is the charter present?		0	C
Ρ.	Is this a multi-center trial with BCM as the coordinating center?	C	0	

	1. If so, is all appropriate documentation present?		0	
	2. Is communication with other sites adequate?		0	
	3. Are approvals from all sites on file?			0
Notes	S:	•		•
IRB	Submission and Approval			
	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
A.	Have all annual renewals been submitted to the IRB?		0	C
В.	Have all amendments been submitted to the IRB?		0	0
C.	Has the sponsor protocol or grant been submitted to the IRB?		0	C
D.	Has most recent Investigator Brochure been submitted to the IRB?			0
E.	Have all DSMB reports been submitted to the IRB?		0	C
F.	Have all safety reports been submitted to the IRB?		0	C
G.	Have all unanticipated problems involving risks to subjects or others (UPIRSOs) been submitted to the IRB within 5 working days?	C	Û	G
H.	Have all other adverse events been submitted to the IRB according to the approved data safety monitoring plan?			
I.	Have all deviations been submitted to the IRB?			G
J.	Has a summary of all monitoring reports been submitted to the IRB at time of continuing review?	0	D	C
K.	Are all advertisements IRB approved?		Ċ.	C
Infor	med Consent			
	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
Α.	Are copies of all original IRB-approved consents present? Current version dated		0	C
В.	Has the IRB approved any translated consent forms? If yes, what language(s):			0
	1. Is a short form approved for use?	C	٥	C
	2. Is an approved short form summary present?	C	0	C
C.	Has the informed consent form been revised appropriately when amendments have been submitted?	0	0	C
Drug	J Research			
	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
Α.	Are there written procedures for dispensing study drug?		0	0
В.	Are the procedures being followed?		0	C

C.	Is a Research pharmacy being utilized? 1. Which one?		C	C
D.	Is there documentation that the study drug is stored at the correct temperature?	0	0	0
E.	Is access to study drugs restricted?		0	C
F.	Do the investigational records demonstrate full accounting for study drug received?	C	C	C
	1. Are shipping receipts available for review?		0	C
G.	Isa study drug dispensing log maintained and up to date?		C	C
H.	Were all unused drugs returned to the sponsor?	0	0	C
	1. Are shipping receipts available for review?		0	C
Ι.	Signed FDA 1572 (IND only) present?		0	C
J.	Original signed 1571 (IND - Sponsor) present?		0	C
	1. All revisions on file?		0	C
Notes	S.			•

Devi	ce Research			
	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
Α.	Are there procedures for dispensing study device?			0
В.	Are the procedures being followed?	0	C	C
C.	Is access to study devices restricted?			C
D.	Do the investigational records demonstrate full accounting for study devices received?		0	C
	1. Are shipping receipts available for review?			C
E.	Is a study device dispensing log maintained and up to date?	C	C	C
F.	Were all unused devices returned to the sponsor? Are there shipping receipts?	C	C	C
G.	Is Investigator Agreement (Device only) present?		C	C
H.	Is IDE application filed with FDA (copy present)?		C	С
Notes	3.			

Serio	us Adverse Events/Unanticipated Problems			
	Elements Reviewed			
Α.	# of Serious Adverse Events (SAEs): and/or unanticipate	ed		
	problems involving risks to subjects or others (UPIRSOs			
В.	Were all SAE's/UPIRSOs reported to the sponsor per data safety monitoring plan?			
C.	In light of any SAE's/UPIRSOs, are risks appropriately	C	C	C
	represented in the consent form?			
Notes	:			
	Desservely Desservely Desservely			
	Research Record Review	W		
	RAL REVIEW			
NUMB	ER OF SUBJECT FILES REVIEWED (/)			
	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
Α.	Is a separate study file maintained for each subject?			
В.	Are Case Report Forms filled out completely and appropriately, based on source documentation?			
C.	Does the source documentation for each subject include dated signature/initials of the person obtaining the information for each subject?	0	C	C
D.	Is documentation consistent among subject files?	0	0	0
E.	Are changes/corrections initialed and dated using one line to mark out the incorrect data in each subject file?	0	C	C
F.	Were all research procedures conducted (enrollment, treatment, study visits, etc.) within protocol approval periods?	0		
G.	Are subject's records (paper and electronic) stored confidentially?		٥	
H.	Is the informed consent process being conducted per the approved protocol?		٥	0
I.	Is the data safety plan being implemented per the approved protocol?		0	0
Notes				
Inclu	sion/Exclusion Criteria	VEC		N1/A
^	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
A.	Is Inclusion / Exclusion criteria checklist present in each file (or clearly documented in CRF)?			0
В.	Did subjects meet all criteria?			
	1. If not, did sponsor approve exceptions?			0
C.	Is source documentation adequate (i.e. does it reflect the inclusion/exclusion criteria appropriately?)	0	C	C

	Elements Reviewed	YES	NO	N/A
Α.	Does approved staff obtain informed consent?		0	0
В.	Is the consent obtained before the first research procedure for each subject?			0
C.	Are subjects re-consented appropriately when necessary?			0
D.	Did the subject sign the consent?		0	
E.	Did the investigator or designee sign the consent?		0	0
F.	Did a witness sign the consent?			C
G.	Is the consent process documented in the research records?			C
H.	Is there an indication in the research record that a signed copy of the ICF was provided to the subject?			C
I.	Is a short form used in subject's own language when appropriate?		0	C
	Assent: If subjects are <18:			
	 Are appropriate parental signatures obtained? (Risk levels 3 and 4 require the signatures of both parents, if reasonably available) 	C	0	C
	2. Is assent obtained from the child and documented in the research record?			0
otes:				

Revised 7/23/08